

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/650,382	Applicant(s) SHIU ET AL.	
	Examiner Ann M McCamey	Art Unit 2833	

All Participants:

(1) Ann M McCamey.

(2) Wei Te Chung.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 7-14

Prior art documents discussed:

Nakagawa et al. (US 6,679,716)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 7-13 to be canceled in favor of a divisional.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)